5560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2021-0411; FRL-9547-02-R5]

Air Plan Approval; Minnesota; Bulk Silos PM10 FESOP Update

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving SUMMARY: a site-specific revision to the Minnesota State Implementation Plan (SIP) for particulate matter less than 10 microns (PM_{10}) for the portland cement distribution terminal owned and operated by Bulk Silos, LLC (Bulk Silos), formerly known as Lafarge North America Corporation on Childs Road Terminal (Lafarge-Childs Road Terminal), located in Saint Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota. its June 16, 2021, submittal, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requested that EPA approve certain conditions contained in Bulk Silos' federally enforceable state operating permit (FESOP) into the Minnesota PM SIP. The request is approvable because it satisfies the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA). MPCA's submission included an updated modeling demonstration to show the construction changes incorporated in the title I SIP Conditions will not interfere with the ability to maintain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), as Bulk Silos' allowable PM_{10} emissions limits will be decreased with this action.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective [INSERT DATE 60

DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER], unless EPA receives adverse comments by [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2021-0411 at https://www.regulations.gov, or via email to arra.sarah@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments,

please visit https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Olivia Davidson, Physical Scientist, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-0266, davidson.olivia@epa.gov. The EPA Region 5 office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID-19.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

I. What is the background for this action?

Bulk Silos is a portland cement distribution terminal in the Ramsey County PM₁₀ maintenance area, also known as the Red Rock Road maintenance area, in St. Paul (Ramsey County), Minnesota. The area was designated nonattainment for the 1987 PM₁₀ standard on March 15, 1991 (56 FR 11101), and was redesignated to attainment on July 26, 2002 (67 FR 48787). On June 16, 2021, MPCA submitted a request to EPA to approve into the Minnesota SIP the conditions cited as "Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.6(PM10 SIP)." The submission contains measures for Bulk Silos to implement changes that increase efficiency through new and existing equipment, as well as clarifying amendments to the document's language. MPCA posted a background document and permit issuance notice for public comment in the Minnesota State

Register on April 30, 2021, and the comment period ended on June 1, 2021. MPCA received no comments on the document or permit.

Bulk Silos currently operates six silos with pollution control equipment; the silos are used for storage and distribution of cementitious products. The material is currently received by rail, stored in silos, and distributed by truck. The facility is subject to a State Individual Permit containing title I PM_{10} SIP conditions (Permit No. 12300391-002, published September 11, 2007, 72 FR 51713), which will continue to apply until this SIP revision is approved by the EPA. These conditions are intended to ensure that the Red Rock Road area continues to maintain the PM_{10} NAAQS.

The previous SIP revision, approved September 11, 2007 (72 FR 51713), consisted of a FESOP issued to Lafarge-Childs Road Terminal which serves as a joint title I/FESOP document. In the September 11, 2007, action, EPA approved into the Minnesota PM₁₀ SIP the portions of Minnesota Air Emission Permit No. 12300391-002 issued to Lafarge-Childs Road Terminal on November 17, 2006, cited as "Title I condition: SIP for PM₁₀ NAAQS." As part of that action, EPA approved Minnesota's request to revoke from the SIP several Administrative Orders for Lafarge-Childs Road Terminal that had been approved on February 15, 1994 (60 FR 7218), June 13, 1995 (60 FR 31088), and February 8, 1999 (64 FR 5936).

The 2007 title I SIP revisions approved the installation of a new rail siding for rail delivery of material to the silos,

the installation of a related railcar-to-silo pneumatic conveyance, the redesign of the pneumatic conveyance system to allow dedicated use of Silos Nos. 1 and 2, and the installation of new pollution control devices (a low temperature fabric filter) on each of the two dedicated silos. The modeling results demonstrated that to comply with the FESOP, Lafarge-Childs Road Terminal was limited to a maximum daily throughput of 1,100 tons per day (tpd) using a 24-hour rolling average and an annual throughput of 100,000 tons per year (tpy), using a 12-month rolling average.

This SIP revision is being approved in conjunction with a major amendment to a State Individual Permit containing federally enforceable title I SIP conditions (Air Emission Permit No. 12300391-102), submitted to EPA on June 16, 2021. The submittal included the replacement of three existing fabric filters, the construction of three new silos, a new bucket elevator, twelve new fabric filters, paving of roads, and new barge unloading operations. The suggested facility changes in operation require increased throughput limits for overall facility operations, truck loading operations, and bucket elevator operations. To offset increases in throughput limits, Bulk Silos' new permit allows unloading of product from one silo at a time, and the emission limits of unloading will be decreased after approval of the updated title I SIP conditions. Further, MPCA included updated modeling with improved emission factors demonstrating decreased allowable PM_{10} emissions with the proposed facility changes and reduced emission limits. New equipment would not be operable by Bulk Silos until EPA approves the requirements into Minnesota's SIP.

II. What is EPA's analysis of the SIP revision?

MPCA's June 16, 2021, submission contains amended SIP conditions that, when combined, decrease total allowable emission rates of PM₁₀ from Bulk Silos while increasing throughput limits, adding/improving fabric filters, and constructing three new silos. See Table 1 at the end of this review for a list of detailed changes to PM₁₀ allowable emissions limits associated with this action. Additionally, see "Process flow diagram" included at the end of MPCA's Background document submission for a detailed diagram of the facility's operations. The amended SIP conditions in the provided background document include:

A. New fabric filters, new construction.

New construction in the SIP revision would include the installation of twelve new fabric filters: replacement of three fabric filters (Treatment "TREA" 5, 6 and 9 replacing TREA 1-3) and installation of nine new fabric filters (TREA 7, 8, 10-16). Additionally, the revised SIP would authorize construction and operation of a new bucket elevator (Equipment "EQUI" 5) and three new silos (EQUI 8-10). Further, because Bulk Silos' roadways have been paved since the issuance of Permit No.123000391-102, the SIP revision will remove the emission limit requirements for unpaved roads at the permit condition

addressing fugitive emissions at "FUGI" 2. The facility will be subject to emission limit requirements for paved roads that were previously SIP-approved on July 27, 2020 (85 FR 45094), and contain permit content requirements in Minnesota Rule (Minn. R.) 7007.0800, subpart 2(A) and subpart 5, prevention of airborne particulate matter in Minn. R. 7011.0150, and standards for dry bulk agricultural commodity requirements in Minn. R. 7011.1005, subpart 1(A).

B. Throughput limits.

Throughput limits for facility operations, specifically silo unloading (COMG 2), truck loading operations (EQUI 4), and bucket elevator operations (EQUI 5), will be increased or established for new processes with this SIP revision. Previously, unloading operations were limited to rail and required throughput limits of 1,100 tpd/100,000 tpy, truck loading operations had no emission limits, and the facility did not include bucket elevator operations or barge unloading. proposed revisions add barge unloading to the facility's operations, incorporate throughput limits of 2,500 tpd/740,000 tpy each for unloading and truck loading, and 1,100 tpd/100,000 tpy for the proposed bucket elevator operations. Facilityspecific emission factors and other proposed facility changes demonstrate no increased emissions of PM_{10} from increased throughput limits based on improved modeling. Permit No. 12300391-102 includes language specifying "This requirement expires upon startup of the Project" for current operational

throughput, or silo unloading (COMG 2, 5.3.1 and 5.3.2).

Additionally, the permit states the increased limits would go into effect "Upon startup of the Project" (COMG 2, 5.3.3 and 5.3.4) for operational throughput, and similarly for the newly established truck loading throughput limits (EQUI 4, 5.7.3 and 5.7.4) and bucket elevator throughput limits (EQUI 5, 5.8.1 and 5.8.2). See Table 1 for equipment-specific emission limit changes from the effective permit (No. 12300391-002, 72 FR 51713) to the new permit (No. 12300391-102).

C. Changes to modeling requirements.

To approve the new conditions listed in Permit No. 12300391-102, the MPCA conducted Significant Impact Level (SIL) modeling to determine compliance with the PM_{10} NAAQS using both existing and new PM_{10} emissions sources. The permit update replaces equivalent-or-better modeling demonstration requirements at the permit condition titled Total Facility "TFAC" 1, 5.1.1 and 6.1.1 to include specific modeling triggers when future changes are made in the parameters contained in Appendix A or emission sources. Specifically, TFAC 5.1.1 indicates no change can be made to the facility that would result in an increase in PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$ emissions until it can be demonstrated that it would not cause an exceedance of the NAAQS and 6.1.1 contains corrective actions for failed emission rate performance tests.

D. Facility-specific emissions factors.

The required modeling exercise to review and reissue Permit

No. 12300391-102 to Bulk Silos included new, significantly lower process-specific factors not identified in prior modeling demonstrations for the facility, provided by Bulk Silos through performance testing and reference from EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emissions Factors (AP-42). The SIL modeling demonstration allowed MPCA to increase throughput limits while decreasing the allowable PM_{10} emission rate (Table 1). Performance testing requirements at a minimum of once every 60 months will be used to demonstrate continued compliance and verify the updated emission factors (see 6.2.1 (permit condition titled Component Group "COMG" 2 unloading silos), 6.3.1 (COMG 3 existing unloading silos), 6.4.1 (COMG 4, bucket elevator and silo 3 operations), 6.5.1 (EQUI 4, truck loading operations)).

III. PM_{10} SIP and emissions impacts.

The approval of MPCA's submittal would strengthen the Minnesota SIP by requiring more stringent emission limits, counteracting the revision of increased throughput limits.

Table 1, below, shows the previous emission limit and new emission limit applicable to each unit at the facility.

Considered together, allowable emissions will be decreased by 1.18 lb/hr and 0.45 lb/hr for the 24-hour limit and the annual limit, respectively. These changes become effective upon the effective date of EPA's approval of MPCA's June 16, 2021,

¹ New emission factors were calculated based on methods contained in AP-42 (Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors) Section 11.12 and an emission factor created using the results of Bulk Silos' self-reported performance test (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-09/documents/toc kwrd.pdf).

 $^{^2}$ See EPA's documentation of AP-42 at $https://{\it www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42-compilation-air-emissions-factors $$\sharp 5$$ thed

request.

Table 1: Summary of Changes to Allowable PM_{10} Emissions in Revised Title I SIP Conditions for Bulk Silos

| Unit | | New unit ID | | New emission |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| Description | | | emission limit | |
| | EQUI 1 | COMG 2 (EQUI | 0.25 lb/hr* | 0.009 lb/hr* |
| Conveyance | | 11 excluded) | | |
| to Silo 6 | | | | |
| Pneumatic | EQUI 2 | | 0.25 lb/hr* | |
| Conveyance | | | | |
| to Silo 5 | | | | |
| Unloading | EQUI 3 (EQUI 6, | | 0.84 lb/hr* | |
| Silos | 7, 11, AND 12) | | | |
| New Silos | EQUI 8, 9, 10 | | NA | |
| Silo 3 | EQUI 11 | EQUI 11 | 0.84 lb/hr* | 0.0008 lb/hr* |
| (storage | | | | |
| silo) | | | | |
| Truck | EQUI 4 | EQUI 4 | .04 lb/hr*, | .009 lb/hr* |
| loading | | | 0.15 tpy** | |
| New Bucket | NA | EQUI 5 | NA | .0031 lb/hr* |
| Elevator | | (bucket | | |
| | | elevator | | |
| Unpaved | FUGI 2 | FUGI 2 | 0.3 tpy** | NA |
| roads | | | | |

^{*} daily average

The approval of the SIP revisions allows the unloading of product into EQUIs 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, or 12, contained in COMG 2. Product would no longer be loaded into Silo 3 (EQUI 11). Instead, Silo 3 would serve as a storage silo to transfer cementitious product between silos 7-9 (EQUI 8, 9 and 10). Units contained in COMG 2 are collectively subject to the Unloading Process Throughput limits and the "New SIP PM₁₀ Limit" of 0.009 lb/hr. Previously, EQUI 3 contained EQUI 6, EQUI 7, EQUI 11, and EQUI 12. These four units are subject to the combined PM₁₀ limit of 0.84 lb/hr at COMG 1 until startup of the Project. Then, the PM₁₀ limit for EQUIS 6, 7, and 12 will be encompassed by the PM₁₀ limit at COMG 2 and the PM₁₀ limit for EQUI 11 will be at EQUI 11.

^{** 24-}hour rolling average and 12 month rolling average

IV. Section 110(1) obligations.

In this action, EPA is approving MPCA's request to update title I SIP Conditions related to the Bulk Silos' portland cement distribution terminal. MPCA's submission includes a noninterference demonstration clarification letter included within the docket of this rulemaking intended to show that its SIP revision is approvable under Section 110(1) of the CAA; such a demonstration is sometimes called an anti-backsliding demonstration. Section 110(1) provides that EPA cannot approve a SIP revision if the revision would interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment or reasonable further progress (RFP), or any other applicable requirement of the CAA.

Additionally, Section 110(1) makes clear that each SIP revision is subject to the requirements of Section 110(1). A state may demonstrate the revision will not interfere with attainment of the NAAQS through an air quality modeling analysis. As previously mentioned, MPCA performed a SIL modeling demonstration to determine compliance with the PM10 NAAQS, concluding that the facility changes at Bulk Silos will not interfere with the facility's ability to maintain the PM10 NAAQS and total allowable PM10 emissions will be decreased. The modeling demonstration included updated facility-specific emission factors developed through performance testing. Further, MPCA has made updates to the modeling requirements in the Bulk Silos' permit, specifically, TFAC 5.1.1 states no

change can be made to the facility that would result in an increase in PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$ emissions until it can be demonstrated that it would not cause an exceedance of the NAAQS. For these reasons, we conclude that the revisions will not interfere with attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS, RFP, or any other applicable requirement of the CAA. EPA has determined that MPCA's SIP submission meets the requirements of section 110(1) of the CAA.

V. What is a "Title I condition?"

SIP control measures were contained in permits issued to culpable sources in Minnesota until 1990 when EPA determined that limits in state-issued permits are not federally enforceable because the permits expire. The state then issued permanent Administrative Orders to culpable sources in nonattainment areas from 1991 to February of 1996.

Minnesota's consolidated permitting regulations, approved into the State SIP on May 2, 1995 (60 FR 21447), include the term "Title I condition" which was written, in part, to satisfy EPA requirements that SIP control measures remain permanent. A "Title I condition" is defined as "any condition based on source-specific determination of ambient impacts imposed for the purposes of achieving or maintaining attainment with the national ambient air quality standard and which was part of the state implementation plan approved by EPA or submitted to the EPA pending approval under section 110 of the act ..." The rule also states that "Title I conditions and the permittee's

obligation to comply with them, shall not expire, regardless of the expiration of the other conditions of the permit." Further, "any title I condition shall remain in effect without regard to permit expiration or reissuance, and shall be restated in the reissued permit."

Minnesota has also initiated using joint title I/title V-FESOP documents as the enforceable document for imposing emission limitations and compliance requirements in SIPs. The SIP requirements in joint title I/title V-FESOP documents submitted by MPCA are cited as "Title I conditions," therefore ensuring that SIP requirements remain permanent and enforceable. EPA reviewed the State's procedure for using joint title I/title V-FESOP documents to implement site-specific SIP requirements and found it to be acceptable under both titles I and V of the Act (July 3, 1997 letter from David Kee, EPA, to Michael J. Sandusky, MPCA).

VI. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving a revision to Minnesota's PM_{10} SIP for Bulk Silos, as submitted by MPCA on June 16, 2021, and reflected in conditions labeled "40 CFR pt. 51, Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.6(PM10 SIP), Title I Condition: 40 CFR pt. 52, subp. Y" in the background document and permit (No. 12300391-102).

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, we are publishing

a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the State plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER] without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. VII. Incorporation by reference.

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Minnesota Regulations described in this preamble and set forth in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 below. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents

generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA
Region 5 Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR
FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this preamble for more
information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by
EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by
reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable
under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date
of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be
incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP
compilation.³

VIII. Statutory and Executive order reviews.

Under the CAA the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the

³ 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

- provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the

 National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995

 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those

 requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act;

 and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian

reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

This action is subject to the Congressional Review Act, and EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged

later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section $307\,(b)\,(2)\,.)$

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 31, 2022.

Debra Shore,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52-APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

- 2. In § 52.1220, the table in paragraph (d) is amended by:
- a. Adding an entry for "Bulk Silos" immediately following the entry for "BAE Technology Center"; and
- b. Removing the entry for "Lafarge North America Corporation, Childs Road Terminal".

The addition reads as follows:

§ 52.1220 Identification of plan.

* * * * * *

(d) * * *

EPA-Approved Minnesota Source-Specific Permits

| Name of | | State effective | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| source | Permit No. | date | EPA approval date | Comments | | | |
| * * * * * * | | | | | | | |
| Bulk Silos | 12300391-102 | | [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER], [INSERT FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION] | Only conditions cited as "Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.6(PM10 SIP)" | | | |
| * * * * * | | | | | | | |

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2022-07288 Filed: 4/6/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 4/7/2022]